

Summer cover crops as an integrated pest management strategy in Florida strawberry production: relationship between weeds and nematodes

Johan Desaegeer and T. Watson

Summary

Planting cover crops during summer between strawberry seasons has many benefits including preventing soil erosion, improving soil fertility and health, and potentially reducing plant-parasitic nematode populations and weeds. This report will summarize data from the GCREC on (1) a cover crop field trial, and (2) a weed greenhouse trial.

(1) Cover crops, including mixtures, were evaluated in a small-plot field trial at Balm. All cover crops had greater ground coverage and less purple nutsedge than the weedy fallow. Sting and stubby root nematodes were increased after sorghum sudangrass, and root-knot nematodes were highest in the weedy fallow. There was no effect on total N, and while organic matter was slightly increased for all treatments, soil pH was reduced especially in the weedy fallow. (2) In the weed study, carolina geranium and false daisy were good hosts for all three nematodes tested (sting, root-knot and lesion). Bermudagrass and yellow nutsedge were good hosts for sting and lesion, and purple nutsedge for sting. Carpet weed was a good host for root-knot, and Florida pusley, ragweed and sandbur were poor hosts for all three nematodes. We were unable to set-up summer cover crop trials in grower's fields due to Covid-19 restrictions.

Methods

Small-Plot Field Trial

In Spring 2019, a small-plot field trial was conducted at the Gulf Coast Research and Education Center to

identify a summer cover crop mix to suppress plant-parasitic nematodes and enhance soil health. The trial was conducted following a strawberry crop, and on a field with a history of sting nematode infestation and heavy weed pressure.



Figure 1. Strawberry field at the Gulf Coast Research and Education Center showing heavy sting nematode damage.

In early April 2019 the previous season's strawberry field was tilled and flattened. Plots (6 feet by 4 feet) were marked off using wooden stakes. Six replicate plots were planted in a randomized complete block design with one of the following cover crop mixes:

1. Sunnhemp (50 lb/A)
2. Sunnhemp (25 lb/A) + Marigold (2.5 lb/A)
3. Southern Pea (15 lb/A)
4. Southern Pea (7.5 lb/A) + Marigold (2.5 lb/A)
5. Sunnhemp (25 lb/A) + Southern Pea (7.5 lb/A)

6. Sunnhemp (16.7 lb/A) + Southern Pea (5 lb/A) + Marigold (1.7 lb/A)
7. Sorghum Sudangrass (30 lb/A)
8. Weedy fallow

In late June 2019 the cover crop was chopped down and tilled into the soil to a depth of 6 inches.

Ground cover was measured weekly using a handheld GreenSeeker™ during the first four weeks of crop establishment. Soil populations of sting and other nematodes were analyzed prior to planting the cover crops, prior to tilling the cover crops, and one month after the cover crops were tilled into the soil. Soil nutrient analyses were performed prior to planting the cover crops as well as one month after the cover crops were tilled into the soil. Weed species growing within plots were quantified prior to tilling the cover crops.

Common Weed Host Status to Nematodes

A series of growth chamber experiments were performed from 2019 - 2020 to determine the host range of different weeds to the three most common and most damaging nematodes in Florida strawberries, sting, root-knot, and root-lesion nematodes. We believe this research is essential to understanding the relationship between weeds and nematodes through the summer cropping season to develop better integrated management practices. This study will demonstrate if common weeds found in Florida strawberry fields are acting as a 'refuge' for nematodes during the summer months between winter production seasons.

Steam-sterilized field soil was inoculated with one of three different nematodes: (1) sting nematode (20 *Belonolaimus longicaudatus* per 200 mL soil), (2) root-knot nematode (250 *Meloidogyne hapla* per 200 mL soil), or (3) root-lesion nematode (100 *Pratylenchus penetrans* per 200 mL soil). For each nematode, six replicate 5-inch plastic pots were filled with nematode-infested soil and planted with one of the following weeds: yellow nutsedge, purple nutsedge, carolina geranium, Bermuda grass, purslane, Florida pusley, ragweed, sandbur, false daisy, and carpetweed. A fallow (no crop) treatment as well as pots planted with strawberry were included as controls.

The weeds were grown in a growth chamber at 72 °F for 12 weeks prior to subsequent analysis. At harvest nematode reproductive factor was calculated (final nematode population density/initial nematode population density). The entire experiment was performed twice.

Results

Small-Plot Field Trial

At the time of planting, sting nematode populations did not differ among plots, with population densities ranging from 2-6 nematodes per 200 mL of soil.



Figure 2. Small-plot field trial at the Gulf Coast Research and Education Center with different cover crop mixes growing in sting-nematode infested soil.

Pre-till and post-till population densities were not different, except in the Sorghum sudangrass plots, which had higher sting nematode populations than other plots (Table 1). Also stubby root nematodes were increased with Sorghum sudangrass compared to other cover crops (Table 2). Stubby root nematodes are common in strawberry fields, but little is known about their damage potential. Root-knot nematodes (the rice root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne graminicola*) were also detected, especially in the weedy fallow (Table 3). Unlike the northern root-knot nematode *M. hapla*, the rice root-knot nematode is not known to cause damage to strawberries, but is common in turf and was probably associated with nutsedge in the weedy fallow.

Prior to tilling the cover crops into the soil, the abundance of purple nutsedge shoots was significantly lower in the Southern Pea + Marigold treatment relative to that of the Weedy Fallow (Table 4). All cover crop mixes increased ground coverage relative to that of the weedy fallow (Table 5); however, coverage was greatest in the Sorghum Sudangrass treatment. Of all cover crops, marigold had poorest growth.

At the time of planting, pH, soil organic matter content and total nitrogen content did not differ among the plots (Table 6). One month after tilling the cover crops, no effect on total N was noted for any of the treatments. Organic matter was slightly increased for all treatments, with sunn hemp + southern pea having the highest increase. Soil pH was lowest following the weedy fallow, and similar for all planted cover crops (Table 6). Table 7 summarizes the nematode host status of different cover crop species from an earlier greenhouse study (and from which species used in the micro-plot study were selected).

Common Weed Host Status to Nematodes

In the nematode-weed study, carolina geranium and false daisy were good hosts for all three nematodes (sting, northern root-knot and northern lesion) (Tables

8, 9 and 10). Bermudagrass and yellow nutsedge were good hosts for sting and northern lesion nematodes, and purple nutsedge was a good host for sting nematode. Carpet weed was a good host for northern root-knot nematode, and Florida pusley, ragweed and sandbur were poor hosts for all three nematodes (Tables 8, 9 and 10). **Table 11** summarizes the nematode host status of the different weeds.

Disclaimer

The use of trade names in this publication is solely for the purpose of providing specific information. UF/IFAS does not guarantee or warranty the products named, and reference to them in this publication does not signify our approval to the exclusion of other products of suitable composition.

Contact

Dr. Johan Desaegeer

UF/IFAS Gulf Coast Research and Education Center

P: 813-419-6592

E: jad@ufl.edu

Table 1. Impact of summer cover crop mixes on sting nematode population densities, GCREC, 2019.

Cover Crop Mix	Sting Nematode per 200 mL soil		
	At Plant	Pre-Till	Post-Till
Sunnhemp	3	1 b	3
Sunnhemp + Marigold	2	2 b	1
Southern Pea	3	5 ab	3
Southern Pea + Marigold	6	5 ab	4
Sunnhemp + Southern Pea	5	4 ab	1
Sunnhemp + Southern Pea + Marigold	3	4 ab	5
Sorghum Sudan	2	19 a	9
Weedy Fallow	3	3 ab	5
P-value	0.219	0.044	0.397

Table 2. Impact of summer cover crop mixes on stubby root nematode population densities, GCREC, 2019.

Cover Crop Mix	Stubby Root Nematode per 200 mL soil		
	At Plant	Pre-Till	Post-Till
Sunnhemp	3	3 ab	6 ab
Sunnhemp + Marigold	2	3 ab	5 b
Southern Pea	4	2 b	5 ab
Southern Pea + Marigold	2	4 ab	3 b
Sunnhemp + Southern Pea	5	2 b	6 ab
Sunnhemp + Southern Pea + Marigold	7	3 ab	4 b
Sorghum Sudan	3	23 a	16 a
Weedy Fallow	5	5 ab	7 ab
P-value	0.485	0.037	0.023

Table 3. Impact of summer cover crop mixes on root-knot nematode population densities, GCREC, 2019.

Cover Crop Mix	Root-knot Nematode per 200 mL soil		
	At Plant	Pre-Till	Post-Till
Sunnhemp	1	0 b	0
Sunnhemp + Marigold	0	0 b	3
Southern Pea	0	1 ab	0
Southern Pea + Marigold	1	0 b	0
Sunnhemp + Southern Pea	0	0 b	0
Sunnhemp + Southern Pea + Marigold	0	0 b	1
Sorghum Sudan	1	1 ab	1
Weedy Fallow	1	11 a	3
P-value	0.548	0.046	0.195

Table 4. Impact of prospective summer cover crop mixes on common weeds.

Cover Crop Mix	Weeds (# per plot)			
	Purple Nutsedge	Crab Grass	Pigweed	Pusley
Sunnhemp	53 ab	25	3	3
Sunnhemp + Marigold	79 ab	16	3	10
Southern Pea	58 ab	24	6	7
Southern Pea + Marigold	17 b	26	10	10
Sunnhemp + Southern Pea	56 ab	16	4	8
Sunnhemp + Southern Pea + Marigold	46 ab	41	6	9
Sorghum Sudan	54 ab	10	7	13
Weedy Fallow	122 a	31	4	10
P-value	0.027	0.075	0.682	0.463

Table 5. Ground cover by prospective summer cover crop mixes. NDVI refers to normalized difference vegetation index and WAP refers to weeks after planting.

Cover Crop Mix	Ground Cover (NDVI value)			
	1 WAP	2 WAP	3 WAP	4 WAP
Sunnhemp	0.23 ab	0.50 ab	0.60 b	0.63 a
Sunnhemp + Marigold	0.20 bc	0.43 bc	0.58 b	0.62 a
Southern Pea	0.15 de	0.32 d	0.61 b	0.66 a
Southern Pea + Marigold	0.15 e	0.36 cd	0.63 ab	0.67 a
Sunnhemp + Southern Pea	0.20 bc	0.44 bc	0.60 b	0.63 a
Sunnhemp + Southern Pea + Marigold	0.18 cd	0.44 bc	0.63 ab	0.68 a
Sorghum Sudan	0.24 a	0.55 a	0.70 a	0.75 a
Weedy Fallow	0.13 e	0.27 d	0.44 c	0.52 b
P-value	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.031

Table 6. Impact of summer cover crop mixes on soil nutrition.

Cover Crop Mix	pH		Organic Matter (%)		Total Nitrogen (%)	
	At Plant	Post-Till	At Plant	Post-Till	AtPlant	Post-Till
Sunnhemp	7.2	6.8 ab	0.80	0.9 ab	0.03	0.03
Sunnhemp + Marigold	7.2	6.9 a	0.80	1.0 ab	0.02	0.03
Southern Pea	7.1	6.7 ab	0.80	0.8 b	0.02	0.03
Southern Pea + Marigold	7.2	6.9 a	0.90	1.0 ab	0.03	0.03
Sunnhemp + Southern Pea	7.2	6.7 ab	0.90	1.1 a	0.03	0.03
Sunnhemp + Southern Pea + Marigold	7.2	6.7 ab	0.80	0.9 ab	0.02	0.03
Sorghum Sudan	7.2	7.0 a	0.80	1.0 ab	0.03	0.03
Weedy Fallow	7.1	6.2 b	0.90	0.9 ab	0.03	0.03
P-value	0.995	0.017	0.130	0.046	0.572	0.765

Table 7. Cover crop host status summary to sting nematode, northern root-knot nematode, and northern root-lesion nematode and plant biomass produced.

Common Name	Genus and Species	Nematode Host Status ^a			Plant Biomass
		Sting Nematode	Northern Root-Knot Nematode	Northern Root-Lesion Nematode	
Buckwheat	<i>Fagopyrum esculentum</i>	Good	Moderate	Moderate	Low
Goat's Rue	<i>Tephrosia virginiana</i>	Poor	Poor	Poor	Low
Marigold	<i>Tagetes patula</i>	Good	Poor	Poor	Low
Mexican Sunflower	<i>Tithonia diversifolia</i>	Good	Poor	Good	Low
Millet	<i>Setaria italica</i>	Good	Poor	Poor	Low
Pearl Millet	<i>Pennisetum glaucum</i>	Good	Poor	Poor	Low
Radish	<i>Raphanus sativus</i>	Good	Moderate	Good	Low
Sesame	<i>Sesamum indicum</i>	Poor	Poor	Moderate	Low
Sorghum Sudangrass (AS6201)	<i>Sorghum bicolor</i> x <i>S. sudanese</i>	Good	Poor	Moderate	High
Sorghum Sudangrass (AS6401)	<i>S. bicolor</i> x <i>S. sudanese</i>	Good	Poor	Moderate	High
Cowpea	<i>Vigna unguiculate</i>	Good	Good	Poor	High
Sugar Beet	<i>Beta vulgaris</i>	Poor	Poor	Poor	Low
Sunflower	<i>Helianthus annuus</i>	Good	Poor	Good	Low
Sunnhemp	<i>Crotalaria juncea</i>	Poor	Poor	Moderate	High

^a Good (reproductive factor >1.0), Moderate (reproductive factor 0.99-0.5), Poor (reproductive factor <0.5).

Table 8. Nematode host status of strawberry weeds for lesion nematode (*Pratylenchus penetrans*).

Crop	<i>P. penetrans</i> / 200 mL soil	<i>P. penetrans</i> / root system	Reproductive Factor (Pf/Pi)
Fallow	3 ab	0 b	<0.1 c
Bermuda Grass	182 a	392 ab	2.2 abc
Carolina Geranium	140 ab	1204 a	3.2 a
Carpetweed	4 ab	1 b	<0.1 c
False Daisey	84 ab	1159 a	2.6 ab
Purple Nutsedge	2 b	30 b	<0.1 c
Pusley	2 b	6 b	<0.1 c
Ragweed	1 b	0 b	<0.1 c
Sandbur	14 ab	154 b	0.4 bc
Yellow Nutsedge	154 ab	70 b	1.4 abc
Strawberry	126 ab	1232 a	3.1 a
P-value	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001

Table 9. Nematode host status of strawberry weeds for root-knot nematode (*Meloidogyne hapla*).

Crop	<i>M. hapla</i> / 200 mL soil	<i>M. hapla</i> eggs / root system	Reproductive Factor (Pf/Pi)
Fallow	1 c	0 d	<0.1 d
Bermuda Grass	3 c	0 d	<0.1 d
Carolina Geranium	243 b	6699 b	3.2 b
Carpetweed	348 ab	693 cd	1.0 cd
False Daisey	444 a	11840 a	5.6 a
Purple Nutsedge	1 c	91 d	<0.1 d
Pusley	17 c	173 d	0.1 d
Ragweed	1 c	0 d	<0.1 d
Sandbur	1 c	0 d	<0.1 d
Yellow Nutsedge	0 c	0 d	0 d
Strawberry	476 a	4641 bc	2.8 bc
P-value	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001

Table 10. Nematode host status of strawberry weeds for sting nematode (*Belonolaimus longicaudatus*).

Crop	<i>B. longicaudatus</i> / 200 mL soil	Reproductive Factor (Pf/Pi)
Fallow	1 c	<0.1 c
Bermuda Grass	87 b	3.5 b
Carolina Geranium	92 b	3.7 b
Carpetweed	1 c	<0.1 c
False Daisey	61 bc	2.4 bc
Purple Nutsedge	49 bc	1.9 bc
Pusley	1 c	<0.1 c
Ragweed	1 c	<0.1 c
Sandbur	0 c	0 c
Yellow Nutsedge	38 bc	1.5 bc
Strawberry	244 a	9.8 a
P-value	<0.001	<0.001

Table 11. Host status summary of common weeds to sting nematode, northern root-knot nematode, and northern root-lesion nematode.

Common Name	Genus and Species	Nematode Host Status ^a		
		Sting Nematode	Northern Root-Knot Nematode	Northern Root-Lesion Nematode
Bermuda Grass	<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>	Good	Poor	Good
Carolina Geranium	<i>Geranium carolinianum</i>	Good	Good	Good
Carpetweed	<i>Mollugo verticillate</i>	Poor	Good	Poor
False Daisey	<i>Eclipta prostrata</i>	Good	Good	Good
Purple Nutsedge	<i>Cyperus rotundus</i>	Good	Poor	Poor
Florida Pusley	<i>Richardia scabra</i>	Poor	Poor	Poor
Ragweed	<i>Ambrosia artemisiifolia</i>	Poor	Poor	Poor
Sandbur	<i>Cenchrus echinatus</i>	Poor	Poor	Poor
Yellow Nutsedge	<i>Cyperus esculentus</i>	Good	Poor	Good

^a Good (reproductive factor >1.0), Moderate (reproductive factor 0.99-0.5), Poor (reproductive factor <0.5).