Early Season Plant Losses (Do Plant Dip Treatments Work?)

by J. Mertely, R. Martin, and N.A. Peres

University of Florida Gulf Coast Research and Ed. Center Dover, Florida

Plant Growth Irregular, Not Vigorous



Plants Not Establishing, Dying



More C. acutatum Symptoms



Root Necrosis and Basal Crown Rot





Pathogens of Strawberry Transplants

- Colletotrichum acutatum Root necrosis disease
- *Phytophthora* species Phytophthora root & crown rot
- Botrytis cinerea post-harvest storage rot, bud rot
- Pestalotiopsis species root rot

All share something in common...

Plant Dip Experiments

- 4 similar experiments, one in 2013-14, 3 last season
- Each experiment was replicated in four beds, RCBD
- Transplants dipped 5 min & immediately set in plots
- Various measurements were recorded
 - Plant mortality
 - Plant growth and vigor
 - Yield of marketable fruit, or all ripe fruit (Feb. 2016)

Products tested

Product	Active ingredient(s)	Rate/100 gal	Labeled for plant dip?
Abound	azoxystrobin	8 fl oz	yes
Actinovate AG	Streptomyces lydicus	12 & 24 oz	yes
Fontelis	penthiopyrad	24 fl oz	no
Helena Prophyt	Potassium phosphite	2 pt	yes
Oxidate	hydrogen dioxide	2 qt	yes
Regalia	plant extract	4 qt, 2 qt	yes
Rovral	iprodione	2 pt	yes
Serenade ASO	Bacillus subtilis	4 qt	no
Switch	cyprodinil + fludioxonil	8 oz	yes
TerraGrow	biological	10 oz	?
Topsin 4.5 FL	thiophanate methyl	20 fl oz	no

Plant Dips in ABOUND vs Water Control

Measurement	2014-15	2015-16 Exp 1	2015-16 Exp 2	Sp 2016 Exp 3
Yield (g/plot)	1645 vs 1758 ns	2516 vs 2058 *	2100 vs 2203 ns	703 vs 561
Mortality (%)	23.8 vs 15.1 ns	2.6 vs 0.0 ns	6.2 vs 18.7 ns	nil
Plant size	7.1 vs 7.4 ns	23.3 vs 22.5 ns	26.7 vs 22.8 *	
Flower blight (% plants)	NA	NA	NA	6.4 vs 16.3

Plant Dips in ACTINOVATE vs Water Control

Measurement	2014-15	2015-16 Exp 1	2015-16 Exp 2	Sp 2016 Exp 3
Yield (g/plot)	2775 vs 1758 *	2383 vs 2058 ns	2386 vs 2213 ns	612 vs 561 ns
Mortality (%)	2.5 vs 15.1 ns	2.6 vs 0.0 ns	5.0 vs 18.7 ns	nil
Plant size	8.5 vs 7.4 ns	23.1 vs 22.5 ns	25.9 vs 22.8 ns	
Flower blight (% plants)	NA	NA	NA	15.0 vs 16.3

Plant Dips in SWITCH vs Water Control

Measurement	2014-15	2015-16 Exp 1	2015-16 Exp 2	Sp 2016 Exp 3
Yield (g/plot)	2920 vs 1758 *	1761 vs 2058 ns	2086 vs 2213 ns	548 vs 561 ns
Mortality (%)	5.0 vs 15.1 ns	8.2 vs 0.0 *	6.2 vs 18.7 ns	nil
Plant size	8.5 vs 7.4 ns	19.2 vs 22.5 ns	23.8 vs 22.8 ns	
Flower blight (% plants)	NA	NA	NA	0.0 vs 16.3

Plant Dips in OXIDATE vs Water Control

Measurement	2014-15	2015-16 Exp 1	2015-16 Exp 2	Sp 2016 Exp 3
Yield (g/plot)	1579 vs 1758 ns	2643 vs 2058 *	2351 vs 2213 ns	515 vs 561 ns
Mortality (%)	17.5 vs 15.1 ns	6.4 vs 0.0 *	21.2 vs 18.7 ns	nil
Plant size	7.6 vs 7.4 ns	24.8 vs 22.5 ns	24.9 vs 22.8 ns	
Infected plants (%)	NA	NA	NA	6.3 vs 16.3

Plant Dips in FONTELIS vs Water Control

Measurement	2014-15	2015-16 Exp 1	2015-16 Exp 2	Sp 2016 Exp 3
Yield (g/plot)		2421 vs 2058 ns	2275 vs 2213 ns	
Mortality (%)		0.0 vs	6.2 vs	nil
wortanty (%)		0.0 ns	18.7 ns	••••
Plant size		23.0 vs	26.0 vs	
		22.5 ns	22.8 ns	
Infected plants (%)	NA	NA	NA	6.3 vs
				16.3

Summary

- Plant dips do not always produce beneficial effects
- Abound significantly increased yields in 2 out of 4 trials and is effective against sensitive strains of *C. acutatum*.
- Actinovate gave the most consistent increases in yield and appears to enhance plant survival through establishment.
- Switch may be highly effective against root necrosis disease caused by *C. acutatum* and in reducing inoculum that infects flowers early in the season. However...
- Fontelis may be slightly beneficial, but statistically significant results were not found. Other members of the SDHI class of fungicides should be tested.

Thank you

